

(b) (6)

From: HarborComments <HarborComments@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2016 8:26 PM
To: PortlandHarbor
Subject: FW: Harbor Comments

-----Original Message-----

From: drupal_admin@epa.gov [mailto:drupal_admin@epa.gov]
Sent: Sunday, July 17, 2016 6:35 PM
To: HarborComments <HarborComments@epa.gov>
Subject: Harbor Comments

Submitted on 07/17/2016 9:35PM
Submitted values are:

Your Name: (b) (6)

Your Email: (b) (6)

Your Comments: Want option not in the feasibility study: G+ with 1000 acres of dredging and a known time frame for achieving this. Transport contaminated dredge material out of area instead of in a 14 acre newly created hazardous toxic waste dump near homes and schools in St. Johns held in only by a leaky sand/dirt berm. All other proposals do not remove enough persistent pollutants present in lower Willamette where the toxins continue to wash downriver into Columbia. Let the 150 potentially responsible parties pay for the clean up. They are some of the richest companies in the world.

This 10 mile mega site is one of the largest and most complex in the nation and should be dealt with effectively for people, fish, and wildlife. We have owned our home and lived in St. Johns for 25 yrs. Thank you, (b) (6)